FILED
JAN 25 1993

WITCE OF THE CLERK

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1992

KEENE CORPORATION, PETITIONER

2".

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

BRIEF FOR THE UNITED STATES

WILLIAM C. BRYSON
Acting Solicitor General

STUART M. GERSON
Assistant Attorney General

MAUREEN E. MAHONEY

Deputy Solicitor General

ROBERT A. LONG, JR.

Assistant to the Solicitor General

Barbara C. Biddle Robert M. Loeb Attorneys

> Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 (202) 514-2217

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Under 28 U.S.C. 1500, the Court of Federal Claims lacks subject matter jurisdiction over "any claim for or in respect to which the plaintiff" " has pending in any other court any suit or process against the United States" or its agents. The questions presented are:

- 1. Whether Section 1500 applies if a plaintiff is unable to pursue all its legal theories in a single action.
- 2. -Whether Section 1500 applies if the plaintiff simultaneously litigates a dispute in the Court of Federal Claims and another court, but terminates the other action before the Court of Federal Claims rules on a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction.
- Whether the decision in this case should apply to petitioner.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Opini	ons below	1
Juris	diction	2
	tory provision involved	2
State	ment:	
1.	District Court litigation	3
	a. Pennsylvania	3
	b. New York	3
	e. District of Columbia	4
2.	Court of Federal Claims litigation	4
	a. Keene I	4
	b. Keene II	4
3.	The Section 1500 motions	5
4.	The court of appeals' decisions	6
	a. The panel decision	6
	b. The en banc decision	7
Summ	ary of argument	10
Argur	nent:	
I.	Section 1500 bars simultaneous litigation of a dispute in the Court of Federal Claims and another court	14
	A. Section 1500 provides that the Court of Fed- eral Claims lacks jurisdiction if the plain- tiff has a related action pending in another court	14
	B. The purpose of Section 1500 is to bar plain- tiffs from suing the United States or its agents in the Court of Federal Claims and another court	17
	C. Section 1500 is not limited to legal theories that must be litigated in a single action under principles of claim preclusion	21

Argui	ment—Continued:	Page
	D. Section 1500 does not permit a plaintiff to engage in simultaneous litigation against the United States as long as the second action is terminated before the Court of Federal Claims rules on a motion to dismiss	29
	E. The jurisdictional bar of Section 1500 does not depend on the order in which a plain- tiff's actions are filed	36
	F. The courts are not free to disregard clear statutory language to avoid harsh results, and Section 1500 is not as harsh as petitioner	30
	and its amici suggest	39
11.	The doctrines of non-retroactivity and equitable tolling do not apply in this case	41
	A. Non-retroactivity is inappropriate in this case	41
	B. Equitable tolling is inappropriate in this case	43
Conclu	ision	46
	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	
Cases		
A	llied Materials & Equipment Co. v. United	
	States, 210 Ct. Cl. 714 (1976)	27
B	oston Five Cents Savings Bank v. United States,	
D	864 F.2d 137 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	27
В	ritish American Tobacco Co., 89 Ct. Cl. 438	95 94
R	(1931), cert. denied, 310 U.S. 627 (1940) rown v. United States, 358 F.2d 1002 (Ct. Cl.	20, 04
4.5	1966) 8, 34, 35,	36, 40
B	udinich v. Beeton Dickinson & Co., 486 U.S. 196	
	(1988)	41, 42
(asman v. United States, 135 Ct. Cl. 647 (1956)	8, 26,
	27, 28,	36, 40
(atawba Indian Tribe of South Carolina v. United	
6 9	States, No. 92-5018 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 6, 1993)	44
(hevron Oil Co. v. Huson, 404 U.S. 97 (1971)	43

Cases—Continued:	Page
Christianson v. Colt Indus. Operating Corp., 486	
U.S. 800 (1988)	32
Corona Coal Co. v. United States, 263 U.S. 537	
(1924)	38, 39
De La Rama S.S. Co. v. United States, 344 U.S. 386 (1953)	32
Estate of Cowart v. Nicklos Drilling Co., 112 S.Ct. 2589 (1992)	15
Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. v. Risjord, 449 U.S.	10
368 (1981)	41, 42
Fourco Glass Co. v. Transmirra Prods. Corp., 353	**, **
U.S. 222 (1957)	30
Frantz Equip. Co. v. United States, 120 Cl. Ct. 314	-
(1951)	35
GAF Corp. v. United States:	
818 F.2d 901 (D.C. Cir. 1987)	4
19 Cl. Ct. 490 (1990)	6
923 F.2d 947 (Fed. Cir. 1991), cert. denied, 112 S. Ct. 965 (1992)	6
Griffith v. Kentucky, 479 U.S. 314 (1987)	42
Hallstrom V. Tillamook County, 493 U.S. 20	33
	16, 27
Hossein V. United States, 218 Ct. Cl. 727 (1978)	34
Insurance Corp. of Ireland v. Compagnie des	9.4
Bauxites de Guinee, 456 U.S. 694 (1982)	32
Irwin V. Veterans Admin., 111 S. Ct. 453 (1990)	
11 0 00 1000	44
James B. Beam Distilling Co. v. Georgia, 111 S. Ct.	
2439 (1991)	42-43
Japanese War Notes Claimants Ass'n v. United	
States, 373 F.2d 356 (Ct. Cl.), cert. denied, 389	
U.S. 971 (1967)	44
Johns-Manville Corp. v. United States, 855 F.2d	
1556 (Fed. Cir. 1988), cert. denied, 489 U.S.	
1066 (1989) 5, 15, 23, 24, 25,	26, 44
Keene Corp. v. United States:	
700 F.2d 836 (2d Cir. 1983), cert. denied,	
464 U.S. 864 (1983)	4

Cases—Continued:	Page
591 F. Supp. 1340 (D.D.C. 1984)	4
12 Cl. Ct. 197 (1987)	5
King v. St. Vincent's Hospital, 112 S. Ct. 570 (1991)	15
Lemon v. Kurtzman, 403 U.S. 602 (1971)	15
Lorillard v. Pons, 434 U.S. 575 (1978)	25
Los Angeles Shipbuilding & Drydock Corp. v.	
United States, 138 Ct. Cl. 648 (1957)	
Maher v. Gagne, 448 U.S. 122 (1980)	26
Mansfield, Coldwater & Lake Michigan Ry. v.	
Swan, 111 U.S. 379 (1884)	32
Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319 (1976)	33
Matson Navigation Co. v. United States, 284 U.S. 352 (1932)	17
Mitchell v. Clark, 110 U.S. 633 (1884)	44
National Cored Forgings Co. v. United States, 132 Ct. Cl. 11 (1955)	25
Nevada v. United States, 463 U.S. 110 (1983)	25
Newman-Green, Inc. v. Alfonzo-Larrain, 490 U.S.	29, 33
826 (1989) Nonella v. United States, 16 Cl. Ct. 290 (1989)	25, 35
Northern Pipeline Constr. Co. v. Marathon Pipe Line Co., 458 U.S. 50 (1982)	41
Pennsylvania R.R. v. United States, 363 U.S. 202	4.
(1960)	35
Pitt River Home & Agricultural Coop. Assoc., 215	
Ct. Cl. 959 (1977)	27
Prillman v. United States, 220 Ct. Cl. 677 (1979)	27
Russello v. United States, 464 U.S. 16 (1983)	31
Skinner & Eddy Corp., Ex parte, 265 U.S. 86	
(1924) Smith v. Sperling, 354 U.S. 91 (1957)	29
Tecon Engineers, Inc. v. United States, 343 F.2d	23
943 (Ct. Cl. 1965), cert. denied, 382 U.S. 976	00.00
(1966)	38, 39
Torres v. Oakland Scavenger Co., 487 U.S. 312 (1988)	33, 41
United Mine Workers v. Gibbs, 383 U.S. 715	
(1966)	26

Cases—Continued:	Page
Weinberger v. Salfi, 422 U.S. 749 (1975) Wessel, Duval & Co. v. United States, 129 Ct. Cl	
464 (1954)	32, 34
Constitution, statutes and rules:	
U.S. Const.:	
Art. III	. 13, 42
§ 2	42
Amend. I (Establishment Clause) Amend. V	
Act of Dec. 1, 1873, tit. 13, ch. 21, § 1067, 18 Stat	
197 (Rev. Stat. § 1067 (1874)) Act of June 25, 1868, ch. 71, 15 Stat. 75	. 19
§ 8, 15 Stat. 77 12, 17-18, 19, 20-2	
Act of Mar. 3, 1911 (Judicial Code), ch. 231, 36 Stat. 1135	
§ 154, 36 Stat. 11381	
Act of June 25, 1948, ch. 646, § 1500, 62 Stat. 942.	16, 17,
Captured and Abandoned Property Act of 1863 ch. 120, 12 Stat. 820	19 3,
§ 3, 12 Stat. 820	18
Court of Federal Claims Technical and Proce dural Improvement Act of 1992, Pub. L. No 102-572, 106 Stat. 4506;	
§ 902, 106 Stat. 4516	_ 1
§§ 901-911, 106 Stat. 4516-4520 § 911, 106 Stat. 4520	
Federal Courts Improvement Act of 1982, Pub. L No. 97-164, § 133(e) (1)	20
Federal Employees Compensation Act, 5 U.S.C 1500	3
Federal Tort Claims Act:	
28 U.S.C. 1346 (b)	35
28 U.S.C. 2675 (a)	3
Little Tucker Act. 28 U.S.C. 1346(a) (2)	40

Statutes and rules—Continued:	Page
Tucker Act:	
28 U.S.C. 1346 (a)	24, 34
28 U.S.C. 1491	
28 U.S.C. 1491(a) (1)	30
28 U.S.C. 1491 (a) (2)	
28 U.S.C. 1496	30
28 U.S.C. 1501	30
28 U.S.C. 1503	30
28 U.S.C. 2501	40
Rev. Stat. § 1067 (1874)	19
28 U.S.C. 1492	44
28 U.S.C. 1497	
28 U.S.C. 1499	
28 U.S.C. 1500	passim
Reviser's notes (28 U.S.C. at 1	862
(1948))	30
28 U.S.C. 1502	30
28 U.S.C. 1508	31
28 U.S.C. 1631	
28 U.S.C. 1927	39
28 U.S.C. 2509 (c)	44
42 U.S.C. 405 (g)	
42 U.S.C. 1988	26
Fed. R. Civ. P.:	
Rule 11	39
Rule 21	
Sup. Ct. R. 24.1(a)	21
Miscellaneous:	
Cong. Globe, 40th Cong., 2d Sess. (1868)11	. 18. 19. 38
2 Cong. Rec. 129 (1873)	
138 Cong. Rec. S4830-S4832 (daily ed. Apr 1992)	
138 Cong. Rec. D465 (daily ed. Apr. 29, 1992)	
H.R. Rep. No. 308, 80th Cong., 1st Sess. (1947	
Journal of the Senate, 40th Cong., 2d S	
(1868)	18

Miscellaneous—Continued:	Page
Note, Private Bills in Congress, 79 Harv. L. Rev. 1684 (1966)	44
Restatement (Second) of Judgments (1982) 2	2, 23, 26, 42
Robert L. Stern, Eugene Gressman, Stephan M. Shapiro, Supreme Court Practice (6th ed. (1986)	36
S. 2521, 102d Cong., 2d Sess. (1992)	20
and Its Agents, 55 Geo. L.J. 573 (1967)	8, 38
(1986)	15